

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/644,936	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ranodhi Serrao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2141	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Ranodhi Serrao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Colin D. Barnitz, Reg. No. 35,061.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2007

**Time:** 10:30AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*26-46*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment with Primary's approval to cancel claims 26-40 in order to advance prosecution of the application. Applicant agreed with further amendments to the claims.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ranodhi Serrao  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)